



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,126	03/01/2004	In-Hwan Choi	2080-3021C4	2662
7590 06/01/2005			EXAMINER	
Jonathan Y. Kang			HSIA, SHERRIE Y	
Lee, Hong, Deg	erman, Kang & Schmadel	ca .		
14th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
801 S. Figueroa Street			2614	
Los Angeles, CA 90017			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,126	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sherrie Hsia	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replained for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	March 2005.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 25-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See stion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/933,206. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/18/05</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/791,126

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 2, line 6, after "number", --09/933,353—should be inserted;

Line 7, after "number", --09/933,280—should be inserted.

On page 12, line 23 and page 17, line 20, "16" should be --25--.

On page 18, line 23, "16" should be --52--.

On page 20, line 10, "4" should be --16--.

On page 21, line 20, "sysbol" and "synbol" should be --symbol--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 35, 37 and 39 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 35, line 1, after "wherein", --the—should be inserted.

In claim 37, line 4, "the trellis coder" should be --a trellis coder--.

In claim 39, line 3, after "case", --and—should be inserted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 25-56 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 25-56 of copending Application No. 10/791,457. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because as to claims 25 and 45, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify claims 25, 26, 45 and 46 of 10/791457 to not include the channel equalizer. Further, subject matter claimed in claims 26 and 46 are met by claims 25 and 45, and subject matter claimed in claims 27-44 and 47-56 are met by claims 27-44 and 47-56.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherrie Hsia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7347.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

Sherrie Hsia Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

SH May 27, 2005